



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,362	08/29/2000	Julie J. Bennett	42390P9622	8226
7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			WONG, LESLIE	
Seventh Floor			ADTIBUT	DADED NUMBED
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2167	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/650,362	BENNETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leslie Wong	2167			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>27 May 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•			
 4)	rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of Applicant's Amendment, filed 27 May 2004, is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Jacobi et al.** ("Jacobi") (U.S. Patent 6,317,222 B1) in view of **Yagasaki** (U.S. Patent 6,125,353 A).

Art Unit: 2167

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 23, **Jacobi** teaches a method and an apparatus comprising:

- b). creating a plurality of categories, each category identifying an attribute (col. 1, lines 16-22 and 46-49);
- c). associating products (i.e. books, CDs, or videos) having at least one attribute with at least one category (i.e., non-fictions, comedies) (col. 2, lines 46-49);
- d). upon selection of a main product by a user in communication with the visual browser, automatically displaying a plurality of related products having at least one attribute in common with the main product that are selectable for purchase by the user (col. 10, lines 45 63; col. 7, lines 5-9; col. 4, lines 2-6).
- a). **Jacobi** does not explicitly teach upon a user logging on to a virtual store having a visual browser via a computer network, displaying a random assortment of products to the user associated with the virtual store without regard to a user profile.

Yagasaki, however, teaches 'upon a user logging on to a virtual store having a visual browser via a computer network, displaying a random assortment of products to the user associated with the virtual store without regard to a user profile' as a mall server which provides a *virtual* shopping mall on a network. The mall server classifies online products into a plurality of categories to help consumers to search for the desired products. The products that fall under the selected category are then displayed on the screen of customer's terminal (col. 1, lines 5-10; col. 2, lines 60-65; col. 1, lines 29-40; Fig. 7).

Art Unit: 2167

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because Yagasaki's teaching would have allowed Jacobi's to enable customers to conduct a search for a set of products by designating a particular product category as a search key. Thus, the system provides any categories of products that are being offered by the stores participating in the virtual shopping mall for user's selection and purchase as suggested by Yagasaki at col. 1, lines 34-36; col. 2, lines 60-65; and abstract.

Regarding claims 3, 14, and 25, **Jacobi** further teaches a step comprising, displaying at least one other product that is not related by a category to the main product (col. 4, lines 2-6).

Regarding claims 4, 15, and 26, **Jacobi** further teaches a step comprising, assigning a weight bias to each category based upon a predefined importance of the respective category (col. 3, lines 23-27).

Regarding claims 5, 16, and 27, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). determining "like" categories for the main product, a "like" category being a category that the main product is associated with (col. 8, lines 60-63);
 - b). selecting one of the "like" categories (col. 10, line 63 col. 11, line 2); and
- c). randomly selecting the at least one other related product from the selected "like" category (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Art Unit: 2167

Regarding claims 6, 17, and 28, **Jacobi** further teaches a step wherein selecting one of the "like" categories includes utilizing the weight biases for the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm to select one of the "like" categories (col. 8, lines 28-39).

Regarding claims 7, 18, and 29, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). determining "dislike" categories for the main product, a "dislike" category being a category that the main product is not associated with (col. 15, lines 58-62);
- b). selecting one of the "dislike" categories utilizing the weight biases for the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm (col. 5, lines 19-31); and
- c). randomly selecting at least one other product from the selected "dislike" category (col. 5, lines 19-31).

Regarding claims 8, 19, and 30, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). selecting a category from the plurality of categories utilizing the weight biases of the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm (col. 14, lines 36-48); and
- b). randomly selecting a product from the selected category (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Regarding claims 9, 20, and 31, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

Art Unit: 2167

- a). scoring each product based upon weight biases of "like" categories (Fig. 5, element 180) and "dislike" categories (Fig. 5, element 190), a "like" category being a category that the main product is associated with, a "dislike" category being a category that the main product is not associated with, a weight bias being a predefined value assigned to each respective category to denote the respective category's importance (col. 8, lines 28-38);
- b). creating a "like" score table, the "like" score table including a "like" score for each of the products indicating the relatedness of the product to the main product (Fig. 1, element 60); and
- c). randomly selecting the at least one other related product from the "like" score table using the "like" scores as a weight bias (col. 12, lines 61-65).

Regarding claims 10, 21, and 32, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). creating a "dislike" score table, the "dislike" score table including a "dislike" score for each product indicating the unrelatedness of the product to the main product, the "dislike" score table being the transposition of the "like score table" (col. 8, lines 28-39); and
- b). randomly selecting at least one other product from the "dislike" score table using the "dislike" scores as a weight bias (col. 5, lines 19-31).

Art Unit: 2167

Regarding claims 11, 22, and 33, **Jacobi** further teaches a step of selecting at least one other product at random from one of the plurality of categories (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Response to Argument

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-12,14-23, and 25-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2167

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong Patent Examiner Art Unit 2167

LW November 22, 2004 Kelle S Wassum Primary Examiner